



Call for Applications AFHC Awards for Healthy Cities 2018

2. Awards for Progress of Healthy Cities

Conceptual framework

The approach of ‘Healthy City Programme’ (HCP) is based on philosophy with assumption in enhancement in health through improvements in certain social, cultural and economic conditions with changes in human attitudes; initiatives in improvement of personal and environmental health; and health being regarded as integral part of development in the community.^{1,2} The Ottawa Charter for Health provided the strategic framework defining health promotion as “the process of enabling people to take control over, and to improve their health”.⁴ Therefore a Healthy City is not necessary the one that has achieved a particular level of health but is conscious of health and is striving to **improve** it. HCP and healthy setting literature states that when such long term development projects are evaluated during the first few years, the focus should be on process rather than outcomes because improvement in health status are only likely to change in longer term.⁴⁻⁶

Key features of a Healthy Cities should include high political commitment and mobilisation, effective and strong leadership, intersectoral collaboration and involvement of key stakeholders, community participation, increasing awareness of health issues, capacity building, integration of activities in elementary settings, development of a city health profile and a local action plan, incorporation of views from all groups within the community, mechanism for sustainability, creation of network locally and overseas, information sharing, monitoring and evaluation, and research.^{1,2,5, 7-10}

SPIRIT Framework

Public health problems result from complex social, economic, political, biological, genetic and environmental causes.¹¹ Improvements in health literacy can help individuals tackle the determinants of health better as they build up the personal, cognitive and social skills which determine the ability of individuals to gain access to, understand and use information to promote and maintain good health.¹² Healthy setting can empower members of the setting to improve health literacy and findings from Healthy Promoting School have demonstrated this perspective.¹³⁻¹⁴ For improvement of population level, both macro and micro level perspectives need to be taken into account.¹⁵ **SPIRIT** framework is proposed to evaluate the city for award from Alliance for Healthy Cities. It can provide a framework in which an integrated and holistic approach to public health can be pursued so policy, environment, social matters, behaviour and biomedical interventions can take their rightful place side by side.¹⁵⁻¹⁶

Setting approach, **S**ustainability

Political commitment, **P**olicy, community **P**articipation

Information, **I**nnovation

Resources, **R**esearch

Infrastucture, **I**ntersectoral

Training

SPIRIT Checklist was developed to recognize progress of individual cities and a series of awards will be given to AFHC members on the basis of their applications.

Award given

AFHC members will be awarded on the basis of their applications. According to evaluation of the process and also impact, one of the following four Awards will be given:

- **Award for Healthy City with Good Infra-structure**

*The City must demonstrate the initiatives in using multiple setting to promote population health with strategic planning. Political commitment with healthy public policy and involvement of different stakeholders are important steps forward. The City needs to create her city health profile with resources earmarked to address the health needs of the city including training at different levels. The City should have the basic layout of infra-structure involving different sectors. If the City has initiated all these steps, it would be considered for “**Healthy City Award with Good Infra-structure**”.*

- **Award for Healthy City with Good Dynamic**

*For next stage of development, the City should demonstrate action in linking healthy settings to promote better health. The City needs to demonstrate how policies are being translated into practice and how the city makes use of her city health profile. The City also needs to demonstrate how local leaders and stakeholders are placed in the infra-structure of Healthy City to push the healthy city movement forward. If the City has reached that level, it would be considered for “**Healthy City Award with Good Dynamic**”.*

- **Award for Healthy City with Strong Action**

*For advanced development of Healthy City, the Health City becomes an integral part of city planning and development. The City should have resources allocated for research and development including networking with cities nationally and internationally taking the City into international arena. Local politicians and leaders are well aware of importance of Healthy City to the livelihood of the local residents. There will be active participation from professional groups, academic and private sectors. This can take the city to get the “**Healthy City Award with Strong Action**”.*

- **Award for Healthy City Expert**

*Healthy City continues to work for further improvement of health and quality of life of its citizens. This award is given to the cities or those leading the cities to become health cities, and already received “AFHC Award for Healthy City with Outstanding Performance” or “AFHC Award for Healthy City with Strong Action” when they show further continuing progress with good evidence in its city and contribution to promote Healthy Cities in the region and beyond. Such city or person will get the “**Healthy City Award Expert**”.*

Eligible Applicants

Full Members of the Alliance for Healthy Cities which gained its membership status before January 2018.

Materials to be submitted [Submission of electronic files is strongly recommended.]

- Fill in the SPIRIT Checklist (SPIRIT_CHECKLIST_2012.doc) with supplementary information as evidence to support your application
- For Award for Healthy City Expert, at least two of the following information should be submitted in addition to fill the SPIRITS Check List: (1) evidence to show the progress since their receipt of previous award; (2) evidence to show the progress of developing revised version of Healthy City Plan (including revision of city’s master plan or city’s development plan) , (3) evidence to show revised structure and infrastructure for a Healthy City to meet the city’s recent needs, (4) evidence to work on new priority issues identified by the city with exact description of the work showing how Healthy City approach and Healthy City framework in the city contributed to a good work, and (5) evidence of work to promote healthy cities in its countries, in the region, and beyond.

Assessment of different levels of Awards will be conducted by leading academics in the field as they have the expertise in assessment based on evidence provided. They will then make their reports to the Award Committee of Alliance. After further validation by the Awards Committee, the Committee will make recommendation to the Steering Committee for consideration of Award. There will be four types of Award to be given.

Deadline for submission

August 31, 2018

Contact Information

Applications and any queries should be sent to:

Awards Committee of the Alliance for Healthy Cities

c/o Prof Keiko Nakamura

Email: alliance.ith@tmd.ac.jp; Fax: +81 3 5803 4034

Global Health Entrepreneurship

Tokyo Medical and Dental University, M & D Tower, 16 FL-South

1-5-45 Yushima, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-8519, Japan

URL: <http://www.alliance-healthycities.com/>

References:

1. WHO. *Regional Guidelines for developing a Healthy Cities Project*. WHO Regional Office for the Western Pacific, 2000.
2. WHO. *Healthy Cities Initiatives: approaches and experiences in the African Region*. WHO Regional Office for Africa, 2002.
3. WHO. The Ottawa Charter for health promotion. *Health Promotion International* 1987;1:3-5.
4. Nutbeam D. Evaluation health promotion. *Health Promotion International* 1998; 13: 27-44.
5. Werna E, and Harpham T. *Cox's Bvazar Healthy Town Programme, Bangladesh- review of progress*. Consultancy Report for WHO.
6. Lee A., Cheng F., St Leger L. Evaluating Health Promoting Schools in Hong Kong: The Development of a Framework. *Health Promotion International* 2005; 20(2): 177-186.
7. Harpham T, Burton S, and Blue I. Healthy City projects in developing countries: the first evaluation. *Health Promotion International* 2001; 16(2): 111-125.
8. Baum F. Research public health: behind the qualitative methodological debate. *Social Science and Medicine* 1995; 40: 459-468.
9. Goldstein M. *Towards an Evaluation of Healthy Cities Programmes*. WHO, Geneva, 1998.
10. De Leeuw E. Sense and Nonsense in Healthy City Evaluation. Paper presented at the International Conference on Healthy Cities, Athens, 1998. WHO Collaborating Centre for Research on Healthy Cities, University of Maastricht, Maastricht, 1998.
11. Baum F. Research public health: behind the qualitative methodological debate. *Social Science and Medicine* 1995; 40: 459-468.
12. Nutbeam D. Health literacy as a public health goal: a challenge for contemporary health education and communication strategies into the 21st century. *Health Promotion International* 2000; 15(3): 259-267.
13. Lee A., Wong MCS., Cheng F., Yuen HSK., Keung VMW., Mok JSY. Can the concept of Health Promoting Schools help to improve students' health knowledge and practices to combat the challenge of communicable diseases: Case study in Hong Kong? *BMC Public Health* 2008; 8:42.
14. Lee A., Cheng F., Fung Y., St Leger L (2006). Can Health Promoting Schools contribute to the better health and well being of young people: Hong Kong experience? *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health* 2006; 60: 530-536.
15. Lee A., Kiyu A., Milman HM., Jara J. Improving Health and Building Human Capital through an effective primary care system. *Journal of Urban Health*. 2007; 84(suppl1): 75-85.
16. Ashton J. Healthy cities and healthy setting. *Promotion and Education* 2002; suppl 1: 12-14.

